

The Epidemic of Obesity

CYNTHIA J. STEIN AND GRAHAM A. COLDITZ

Channing Laboratory (C.J.S., G.A.C.), Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, and Harvard Medical School; Harvard Center for Cancer Prevention (C.J.S., G.A.C.), Harvard School of Public Health; and Division of Sports Medicine (C.J.S.), Children's Hospital Boston, Boston, Massachusetts 02115

As the obesity epidemic spreads, concern about the significant health and economic consequences has also grown. Obesity has been linked to a variety of chronic diseases, almost 300,000 deaths each year, and \$117 billion in direct and indirect annual costs in the United States alone. In this article we review

the recent trends in overweight and obesity, summarize the lifestyle factors that influence the increasing prevalence of obesity, and discuss the health and economic impact of the obesity epidemic. (*J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 89: 2522–2525, 2004)

THE UNITED STATES is experiencing an epidemic of overweight and obesity. The prevalence of excess weight is increasing rapidly across the country, and today close to 65% of the adult population is overweight or obese (1). Comparing the period 1976–1980 (2) with 1999–2000 (1), the prevalence of overweight [body mass index (BMI) ≥ 25 kg/m²] has increased by 40% (from 46.0% to 64.5%) and the prevalence of obesity (BMI ≥ 30 kg/m²) has risen by 110% (from 14.5% to 30.5%).

We are also witnessing an alarming increase in weight among our youth. More than 10% of 2- to 5-yr-olds and 15% of 6- to 19-yr-olds are overweight (BMI ≥ 95 th percentile for age and gender) (3). This represents a near-doubling of overweight children and a near-tripling of overweight adolescents over the last two decades (4). Whereas some segments of the population are more likely to be overweight or obese than others, people of all ages, races, ethnicities, socioeconomic levels, and geographic areas are experiencing a substantial increase in weight (4).

International data indicate that the epidemic is not isolated to the United States but is in fact a global health problem (5, 6). The prevalence of obesity is rising in other developed and affluent countries and is now spreading to less affluent countries (6).

Lifestyle trends

Overweight and obesity result from the interaction of many factors, including genetic, metabolic, behavioral, and environmental influences. The rapidity with which obesity is increasing suggests that behavioral and environmental influences, rather than biological changes, have fueled the epidemic. Increasing energy consumption, decreasing energy expenditure, or a combination of both has led to a positive energy balance and a marked increase in weight in our society.

Over time, changes in our eating habits and activity levels have occurred, but the specific details of these complex behav-

ior changes are not well understood. In evaluating caloric intake, the data from large national surveys have shown mixed results (7). For example, data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey suggest that average energy intake increased between 1971 and 2000 (8). However, Popkin *et al.* (9) analyzed data from the Nationwide Food Consumption Survey (1965 and 1977–1978) and the Continuing Survey of Food Intake by Individuals (1989–1991 and 1994–1996) and did not find a large difference in caloric intake in 1994–1996 compared to 1965.

Outside national surveys, ecological data seem to support the idea that energy intake has increased (7). Despite the fact that there has been an increase in availability and consumption of lower-fat food items over time, a number of trends have been described that could contribute to an increased energy balance and the observed rise in obesity: higher per capita energy availability (10), increased percentage of food consumed outside the home including fast foods (7, 11), greater consumption of soft drinks (11–13), and larger portion sizes (7, 13).

The inconsistent data on energy intake suggest that rising levels of obesity may be more closely related to changes in energy expenditure. As with energy intake, competing influences exist. For example, the number of health clubs, recreational facilities, and homes with exercise equipment has grown (14). However, sedentary activities, such as television watching and videogame playing, have also increased. Of note, television viewing is associated with greater weight in children and adults (15), but it is unclear whether this relationship is due more to a corresponding increase in food consumption or a decrease in physical activity (14). Overall, it appears that levels of leisure-time activity have not changed significantly (16).

What seems to have changed, however, is the level of activity required for work and daily living (17), although this has not yet been well documented. With advances in technology, there has been a greatly reduced dependence on walking and cycling for transportation. Household physical activity has likely decreased due to labor-saving devices. Occupational energy requirements have also dropped as mechanized labor aids have become available, and in general, jobs have become more sedentary.

Today 60% of the U.S. population does not participate in

Abbreviations: BMI, Body mass index; CVD, cardiovascular disease. JCEM is published monthly by The Endocrine Society (<http://www.endo-society.org>), the foremost professional society serving the endocrine community.

regular physical activity, and 25% are almost entirely sedentary (18). In addition, physical activity in schools has declined, and almost half of young Americans between the ages of 12 and 21 yr are not vigorously active on a routine basis (18).

Although the complexities of this relationship are not yet fully understood, the end result is quite clear: the imbalance of energy intake and energy expenditure has resulted in an epidemic of overweight and obesity across the United States.

Measuring overweight and obesity

As the prevalence of overweight and obesity continues to increase, efforts have been made to quantify this weight change in individuals and in the population. Because fat is stored throughout the body, it cannot be measured directly. Body weight itself can provide an indication of fat stores, but because body build and composition are extremely variable, there is no ideal body weight. Instead, other measurements are often used to estimate body fat and better quantify health risk. These include BMI, waist circumference, waist/hip ratio, skin-fold thickness, and bioimpedance. Although these measurements cannot capture all the variables that impact risk, they can be used as tools to estimate risk.

The measurement used most often to quantify body fat is BMI. It is relatively easy to calculate (weight in kilograms divided by the square of the height in meters); it has defined risk categories (overweight, $\text{BMI} \geq 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$; and obese, $\text{BMI} \geq 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$), and it is closely correlated with body fat in most people. It is not a perfect measure, however. BMI does not distinguish between fat mass and lean mass and, therefore, does not provide an accurate indication of body fat in extremely muscular individuals or people who have lost significant muscle mass. In addition, BMI may not be a sensitive indicator of the health risks associated with moderate weight gain (10–20 lb) in individuals that fall within the normal BMI range. Despite these limitations, BMI can be a reliable and valid measure for identifying adults at increased risk of overweight- and obesity-related morbidity and mortality (19).

Health consequences

Even small increases in weight across a population can have a devastating impact on public health. Close to 300,000 deaths each year in the United States may be attributable to obesity (20), making obesity the second leading cause of preventable death in this country (21). Excess weight increases the risk of multiple conditions, including cardiovascular disease, type 2 diabetes, cancer, and premature death (4). The adverse health consequences occur not only in individuals who are in the overweight and obese categories, but disease risk also starts to increase even for those at the upper end of the normal range ($\text{BMI} 22.0\text{--}24.9$) (22).

Although there are a multitude of negative consequences associated with excess weight, many may be reversible with weight loss. For example, randomized trials have shown that weight loss leads to a reduction in blood pressure, better glucose tolerance, and an improved lipid profile (21). The U.S. Preventive Services Task Force has concluded that these improvements in intermediate outcomes provide indirect evidence of the health benefits achievable with modest weight reduction (19).

Heart disease

A large variety of studies have linked obesity to an increased risk of heart disease, and it has been estimated that 20–30% of cardiovascular disease (CVD) mortality may be attributable to excess body weight (23). This is especially significant given that heart disease is the most common cause of death in the United States, killing more than 700,000 Americans each year (24). Men and women who are overweight or obese may be 2 to 3 times more likely than their leaner peers to develop CVD (25, 26), and they are also more likely to die from it (23). Moreover, excess weight early in life is predictive of coronary heart disease mortality. Overweight adolescents may be more than twice as likely as their lean peers to die from coronary heart disease during adulthood (27).

Hypertension

Among men and women, hypertension is one of the most common conditions related to overweight and obesity (28). The diagnosis and treatment of hypertension come with enormous personal and financial costs, and complications include an increased risk of CVD, aortic dissection, renal damage, and cerebrovascular disease. In terms of its association with excess weight, there is a strong linear relation between BMI and blood pressure, and both weight (29–31) and weight gain (32, 33) are positively associated with the development of hypertension. For example, compared with leaner women, overweight women may be almost 3 times more likely and obese women nearly 6 times more likely to develop hypertension (29).

Diabetes

More than 18 million people in the United States have type 2 diabetes (34), which is the sixth leading cause of death in this country (24). Complications of diabetes include blindness, kidney disease, heart disease, stroke, peripheral vascular disease, and neuropathy. Using data from the Nurses Health Study (35), it was estimated that as much as 80% of type 2 diabetes could be attributed to the combined effect of inactivity and overweight/obesity (personal communication, Hu F.B., January 2001). There is a strong linear relationship between BMI and risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus, and obese individuals have almost 10 times the risk of diabetes, compared with their nonobese peers (36, 37). Independent of BMI, weight gain, waist circumference, and waist/hip ratio also strongly correlate with diabetes risk (38–42).

Cancer

Excess weight has been linked to a variety of cancers. The International Agency for Research on Cancer has estimated that overweight and obesity cause 9% of postmenopausal breast cancer, 11% of colon cancer, 25% of renal cancer, 37% of esophageal cancer, and 39% of endometrial cancer (5). Calle *et al.* found that obesity was associated with a higher risk of death from 14 cancers (esophagus, colon and rectum, liver, gallbladder, pancreas, kidney, non-Hodgkin lymphoma, multiple myeloma, stomach, prostate, breast, uterus, cervix, and ovary), and it was estimated that overweight and obesity may account for 14% of all cancer deaths in men and 20% in women (42a).

Cerebrovascular disease

Stroke is the third leading cause of death in the United States (24) and a leading cause of significant, long-term disability. Various measures of obesity have been associated with an increased risk of cerebrovascular disease in men and women. For example, Rexrode *et al.* (43) reported that the risk of ischemic stroke increased with BMI, and obese women had approximately twice the risk as lean women. In men, associations between stroke and both BMI (22) and waist/hip ratio (43a) have been reported.

Gallstones

Gallstones are fairly common, and whereas many are asymptomatic, they can cause pain and inflammation and often lead to treatment with laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Although gallstones do form in lean adults, the relationship between weight and gallstone formation is very strong. Compared with women in the healthy weight range, overweight women have close to twice the risk of developing gallstones, and obese women have 2.5–3 times the risk. Gallstones are more common in women; however, similar trends of increased risk with higher BMI have also been seen in men (22).

Osteoarthritis

More than 20 million people in the United States have osteoarthritis (44). This condition, characterized by the degeneration of the joint cartilage, can cause severe pain and functional limitations. It is a leading cause of disability and also the most common reason for joint replacement surgery. Compared with their leaner peers, overweight adults are at increased risk of developing osteoarthritis of the knee (45) and are more than twice as likely to develop osteoarthritis in the hip (45). Overweight and obesity are also associated with an increased risk of knee and hip replacement surgery (46).

Additional consequences

In addition to the diseases discussed above, overweight and obesity also increase the risk of a large variety of other conditions, including dyslipidemia, sleep apnea, asthma (4), cataracts (47–49), benign prostatic hypertrophy (50), menstrual irregularities, pregnancy complications, depression, and social discrimination (4). Obesity also negatively affects physical functioning, vitality (51), and general quality of life (52).

Economic impact

Excess weight not only causes widespread health effects, but it also results in a tremendous economic burden. Assessing this economic cost is an additional method of summarizing the broad impact of the epidemic on society. It is estimated that obesity costs the United States \$117 billion each year (4). This estimate includes both direct costs (related to diagnosis and treatment of illness, including doctor visits, medications, hospitalizations, and nursing home stays) and indirect costs (resulting from lost wages and productivity due to illness or premature death) (4, 53).

The true cost of the current epidemic of overweight and obesity, however, is likely much higher than the \$117 billion estimate. The estimate is based on the costs of obesity and does not fully address the costs related to those who are overweight

but not obese. It also does not take into account other significant and costly conditions associated with obesity, such as reduced physical functioning, sleep apnea, pregnancy complications, and cataracts. Using a conservative approach, Thompson *et al.* (54) estimated that the excess health care costs linked to obesity were nearly as high as those associated with smoking.

Conclusions

The prevention and treatment of excess weight is critical for the health of both individuals and our society. Health care providers can play an important role in monitoring patients' weight and assisting with diet and physical activity counseling. The U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommends that clinicians screen patients for obesity and offer intensive counseling and behavioral interventions (19). All patients should be encouraged to maintain a healthy weight by eating a nutritious diet and exercising regularly to balance energy intake and energy expenditure. Individuals who are overweight should be assisted in losing weight gradually with a focus on long-term weight loss and maintenance. A variety of approaches can be effective in treating excess weight, and detailed guidelines have been created for providers to help patients with weight management (21).

Lifestyle interventions have proven effective in preventing and treating obesity (21, 55) and its health consequences (56). However, to be most successful and sustain positive change over time, individuals' efforts must be facilitated and supported by the larger physical environment. With this aim, a variety of resources have been developed to address the issues of overweight and obesity at the community and population levels (57, 58). Multilevel interventions are needed if we are to stem the epidemic and prevent the growing negative consequences of overweight and obesity.

Acknowledgments

Received February 13, 2004. Accepted March 11, 2004.

Address all correspondence and requests for reprints to: Cynthia J. Stein, M.D., Channing Laboratory, 181 Longwood Avenue, Boston, Massachusetts 02115. E-mail: cynthia.stein@post.harvard.edu.

References

1. Flegal KM, Carroll MD, Ogden CL, Johnson CL 2002 Prevalence and trends in obesity among U.S. adults, 1999–2000. *JAMA* 288:1723–1727
2. Flegal KM, Carroll MD, Kuczmarski RJ, Johnson CL 1998 Overweight and obesity in the United States: prevalence and trends, 1960–1994. *Int J Obes* 22:39–47
3. Ogden CL, Flegal KM, Carroll MD, Johnson CL 2002 Prevalence and trends in overweight among U.S. children and adolescents, 1999–2000. *JAMA* 288:1728–1732
4. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services 2001 The Surgeon General's call to action to prevent and decrease overweight and obesity. Rockville, MD: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Office of the Surgeon General
5. International Agency for Research on Cancer, World Health Organization 2002 Weight control and physical activity. In: Vainio H, Bianchini F, eds. *International Agency for Research on Cancer handbooks of cancer prevention*. Vol 6. Lyon, France: IARC Press
6. Popkin BM 1998 The nutrition transition and its health implications in lower-income countries. *Public Health Nutr* 1:5–21
7. Harnack LJ, Jeffery RW, Boutelle KN 2000 Temporal trends in energy intake in the United States: an ecologic perspective. *Am J Clin Nutr* 71:1478–1484
8. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2004 Trends in intake of energy and macronutrients—United States, 1971–2000. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 53:80–82
9. Popkin BM, Siega-Riz AM, Haines PS, Jahns L 2001 Where's the fat? Trends in U.S. diets, 1965–1996. *Prev Med* 32:245–254
10. Frazao E, ed. 1999 America's eating habits: changes and consequences.

- Agriculture Information Bulletin No. 750. Washington, DC: USDA, Economic Research Service
11. Nielsen SJ, Siega-Riz AM, Popkin BM 2002 Trends in energy intake in U.S. between 1977 and 1996: similar shifts seen across age groups. *Obes Res* 10:370–378
 12. French SA, Lin BH, Guthrie JF 2003 National trends in soft drink consumption among children and adolescents age 6 to 17 years: prevalence, amounts, and sources, 1977/1978 to 1994/1998. *J Am Diet Assoc* 103:1326–1331
 13. Smiciklas-Wright H, Mitchell DC, Mickle SJ, Goldman JD, Cook A 2003 Foods commonly eaten in the United States, 1989–1991 and 1994–1996: are portion sizes changing? *J Am Diet Assoc* 103:41–47
 14. Jeffery RW, Utter J 2003 The changing environment and population obesity in the United States. *Obes Res* 11(Suppl):12S–22S
 15. Gortmaker SL, Dietz Jr WH, Cheung LW 1990 Inactivity, diet, and the fatening of America. *J Am Diet Assoc* 90:1247–1252, 1255
 16. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2001 Physical activity trends—United States, 1990–1998. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 50:166–169
 17. Hill JO, Melanson EL 1999 Overview of the determinants of overweight and obesity: current evidence and research issues. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 31(Suppl 11):S515–S521
 18. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services 1996 Physical Activity and Health: A Report of the Surgeon General. Atlanta: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, The President's Council on Physical Fitness and Sports
 19. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force 2003 Screening for obesity in adults: recommendations and rationale. *Ann Intern Med* 139:930–932
 20. Allison DB, Fontaine KR, Manson JE, Stevens J, VanItallie TB 1999 Annual Deaths Attributable to Obesity in the United States. *JAMA* 282:1530–1538
 21. National Heart Lung and Blood Institute 1998 Clinical guidelines on the identification, evaluation, and treatment of overweight and obesity in adults—the evidence report. National Institutes of Health. *Obes Res* 6(Suppl 2):51S–209S
 22. Field AE, Coakley EH, Must A, Spadano JL, Laird N, Dietz WH, Rimm E, Colditz GA 2001 Impact of overweight on the risk of developing common chronic diseases during a 10-year period. *Arch Intern Med* 161:1581–1586
 23. Seidell JC, Verschuren WM, van Leer EM, Kromhout D 1996 Overweight, underweight, and mortality. A prospective study of 48,287 men and women. *Arch Intern Med* 156:958–963
 24. Anderson RN, Smith BL 2003 Deaths: leading causes for 2001. *Natl Vital Stat Rep* 52:1–85
 25. Rimm EB, Stampfer MJ, Giovannucci E, Ascherio A, Spiegelman D, Colditz GA, Willett WC 1995 Body size and fat distribution as predictors of coronary heart disease among middle-aged and older U.S. men. *Am J Epidemiol* 141:1117–1127
 26. Harris TB, Ballard-Barbasch R, Madans J, Makuc DM, Feldman JJ 1993 Overweight, weight loss, and risk of coronary heart disease in older women. The NHANES I Epidemiologic Follow-up Study. *Am J Epidemiol* 137:1318–1327
 27. Must A, Jacques PF, Dallal GE, Bajema CJ, Dietz WH 1992 Long-term morbidity and mortality of overweight adolescents. A follow-up of the Harvard Growth Study of 1922 to 1935. *N Engl J Med* 327:1350–1355
 28. Must A, Spadano J, Coakley EH, Field AE, Colditz G, Dietz WH 1999 The disease burden associated with overweight and obesity. *JAMA* 282:1523–1529
 29. Witteman JC, Willett WC, Stampfer MJ, Colditz GA, Sacks FM, Speizer FE, Rosner B, Hennekens CH 1989 A prospective study of nutritional factors and hypertension among U.S. women. *Circulation* 80:1320–1327
 30. Ascherio A, Rimm EB, Giovannucci EL, Colditz GA, Rosner B, Willett WC, Sacks F, Stampfer MJ 1992 A prospective study of nutritional factors and hypertension among U.S. men. *Circulation* 86:1475–1484
 31. Folsom AR, Prineas RJ, Kaye SA, Soler JT 1989 Body fat distribution and self-reported prevalence of hypertension, heart attack, and other heart disease in older women. *Int J Epidemiol* 18:361–367
 32. Yong LC, Kuller LH, Rutan G, Bunker C 1993 Longitudinal study of blood pressure: changes and determinants from adolescence to middle age. The Dormont High School follow-up study, 1957–1963 to 1989–1990. *Am J Epidemiol* 138:973–983
 33. Field AE, Byers T, Hunter DJ, Laird NM, Manson JE, Williamson DF, Willett WC, Colditz GA 1999 Weight cycling, weight gain, and risk of hypertension in women. *Am J Epidemiol* 150:573–579
 34. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2002 Diabetes Public Health Resource. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Available at: <http://www.cdc.gov/diabetes/pubs/estimates.htm>
 35. Hu FB, Manson JE, Stampfer MJ, Colditz G, Liu S, Solomon CG, Willett WC 2001 Diet, lifestyle, and the risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus in women. *N Engl J Med* 345:790–797
 36. Colditz GA, Willett WC, Rotsnizky A, Manson JE 1995 Weight gain as a risk factor for clinical diabetes mellitus in women. *Ann Intern Med* 122:481–486
 37. Chan JM, et al 1994 Obesity, fat distribution, and weight gain as risk factors for clinical diabetes in men. *Diabetes Care* 17:961–969
 38. Lundgren H, Bengtsson C, Blohme G, Lapidus L, Sjoström L 1989 Adiposity and adipose tissue distribution in relation to incidence of diabetes in women: results from a prospective population study in Gothenburg, Sweden. *Int J Obes* 13:413–423
 39. Holbrook TL, Barrett-Connor E, Wingard DL 1989 The association of lifetime weight and weight control patterns with diabetes among men and women in an adult community. *Int J Obes* 13:723–729
 40. Carey VJ, Walters EE, Colditz GA, Solomon CG, Willett WC, Rosner BA, Speizer FE, Manson JE 1997 Body fat distribution and risk of non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus in women. The Nurses' Health Study. *Am J Epidemiol* 145:614–619
 41. Hartz AJ, Rupley Jr DC, Kalkhoff RD, Rimm AA 1983 Relationship of obesity to diabetes: influence of obesity level and body fat distribution. *Prev Med* 12:351–357
 42. Ohlson LO, Larsson B, Svardsudd K, Welin L, Eriksson H, Wilhelmsen L, Bjorntorp P, Tibblin G 1985 The influence of body fat distribution on the incidence of diabetes mellitus. 13.5 years of follow-up of the participants in the study of men born in 1913. *Diabetes* 34:1055–1058
 - 42a. Calle EE, Rodriguez C, Walker-Thurmond K, Thun MJ 2003 Overweight, obesity, and mortality from cancer in a prospectively studied cohort of U.S. adults. *N Engl J Med* 348:1625–1638
 43. Rexrode KM, Hennekens CH, Willett WC, Colditz GA, Stampfer MJ, Rich-Edwards JW, Speizer FE, Manson JE 1997 A prospective study of body mass index, weight change, and risk of stroke in women. *JAMA* 277:1539–1545
 - 43a. Walker SP, Rimm EB, Ascherio A, Kawachi I, Stampfer MJ, Willett WC 1996 Body size and fat distribution as predictors of stroke among U.S. men. *Am J Epidemiol* 144:1143–1150
 44. National Institutes of Health, National Institute of Arthritis and Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases 1998 Arthritis prevalence rising as baby boomers grow older/osteoarthritis second only to chronic heart disease in worksite disability. Available at: http://www.niams.nih.gov/ne/press/1998/05_05.htm
 45. Cicuttini FM, Baker JR, Spector TD 1996 The association of obesity with osteoarthritis of the hand and knee in women: a twin study. *J Rheumatol* 23:1221–1226
 46. Wendelboe AM, Hegmann KT, Biggs JJ, Cox CM, Portmann AJ, Gildea JH, Gren LH, Lyon JL 2003 Relationships between body mass indices and surgical replacements of knee and hip joints. *Am J Prev Med* 25:290–295
 47. Weintraub JM, Willett WC, Rosner B, Colditz GA, Seddon JM, Hankinson SE 2002 A prospective study of the relationship between body mass index and cataract extraction among U.S. women and men. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* 26:1588–1595
 48. Schaumberg DA, Glynn RJ, Christen WG, Hankinson SE, Hennekens CH 2000 Relations of body fat distribution and height with cataract in men. *Am J Clin Nutr* 72:1495–1502
 49. Hiller R, Podgor MJ, Sperduto RD, Nowroozi L, Wilson PW, D'Agostino RB, Colton T 1998 A longitudinal study of body mass index and lens opacities. The Framingham Studies. *Ophthalmology* 105:1244–1250
 50. Giovannucci E, Rimm EB, Chute CG, Kawachi I, Colditz GA, Stampfer MJ, Willett WC 1994 Obesity and benign prostatic hyperplasia. *Am J Epidemiol* 140:989–1002
 51. Coakley EH, Kawachi I, Manson JE, Speizer FE, Willett WC, Colditz GA 1998 Lower levels of physical functioning are associated with higher body weight among middle-aged and older women. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* 22:958–965
 52. Fine JT, Colditz GA, Coakley EH, Moseley G, Manson JE, Willett WC, Kawachi I 1999 A prospective study of weight change and health-related quality of life in women. *JAMA* 282:2136–2142
 53. Wolf AM 1998 What is the economic case for treating obesity? *Obes Res* 6(Suppl 1):2S–7S
 54. Thompson D, Edelsberg J, Colditz GA, Bird AP, Oster G 1999 Lifetime health and economic consequences of obesity. *Arch Intern Med* 159:2177–2183
 55. Gortmaker S, Peterson K, Wiecha J, Sobol AM, Dixit S, Fox MK, Laird N 1999 Reducing obesity via a school-based interdisciplinary intervention among youth: Planet Health. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* 153:409–418
 56. Knowler WC, Barrett-Connor E, Fowler SE, Hamman RF, Lachin JM, Walker EA, Nathan DM; Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group 2002 Reduction in the incidence of type 2 diabetes with lifestyle intervention or metformin. *N Engl J Med* 346:393–403
 57. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Task Force on Community Preventive Services 2003 Guide to community preventive services: systematic reviews and evidence-based recommendations. Atlanta: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
 58. World Health Organization 1998 Consultation on Obesity. Obesity: preventing and managing the global epidemic: report of a WHO Consultation on Obesity, Geneva, June 3–5, 1997. Geneva: World Health Organization, Division of Non-communicable Disease, Programme of Nutrition Family and Reproductive Health